United States Department of Labor Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

L.W., Appellant)	
and)	Docket No. 15-0719 Issued: July 8, 2015
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY, CUSTOMS & BORDER PROTECTION,)	issued. July 6, 2013
El Paso, TX, Employer)	
Appearances:		Case Submitted on the Record
Appellant, pro se		
Office of Solicitor, for the Director		

DECISION AND ORDER

Before:

COLLEEN DUFFY KIKO, Judge ALEC J. KOROMILAS, Alternate Judge JAMES A. HAYNES, Alternate Judge

JURISDICTION

On February 2, 2015 appellant filed a timely appeal from a November 12, 2014 merit decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP). Pursuant to the Federal Employees' Compensation Act¹ (FECA) and 20 C.F.R. §§ 501.2(c) and 501.3, the Board has jurisdiction over the merits of this case.

ISSUE

The issue is whether appellant met his burden of proof to establish a left shoulder condition causally related to the accepted left radial styloid tenosynovitis or to any factors of his federal employment.

On appeal, appellant generally asserts that his left shoulder condition be accepted.

¹ 5 U.S.C. §§ 8101-8193.

FACTUAL HISTORY

On March 22, 2007 appellant, then a 62-year-old communications operator, filed an occupational disease claim alleging that constant computer use at work caused left wrist and thumb pain. OWCP accepted the condition on May 7, 2007.

Appellant retired on August 31, 2007. He relocated to Georgia, and on June 27, 2011 filed a schedule award claim. In correspondence dated July 7 and September 13, 2011, OWCP informed appellant of the evidence needed to support a schedule award for the accepted condition of left radial styloid tenosynovitis.

On June 16, 2011 Dr. Vineet Shah, a Board-certified osteopath specializing in orthopedic surgery, noted a six-month history of bilateral shoulder pain. He reported that appellant had a previous right shoulder rotator cuff repair and right elbow nerve decompression. Dr. Shah described physical examination findings of decreased shoulder range of motion and biceps tendon tenderness. He diagnosed biceps tendinitis and rotator cuff tendinitis.

Dr. Timothy Henderson, Board-certified in orthopedic surgery, completed an attending physician's report on September 19, 2011. He diagnosed left shoulder rotator cuff tear, based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan, and physical examination.² Dr. Henderson advised that appellant needed surgical repair. In a June 27, 2012 treatment note, he reported appellant's complaint of left shoulder pain that had been ongoing for several months and a past history of surgery to the right hand, elbow, and shoulder. Dr. Henderson provided physical examination findings and diagnosed rotator cuff tendinitis. In reports dated July 23 through October 18, 2012, he indicated that appellant had left shoulder arthroscopy and rotator cuff repair on July 19, 2012 and described physical examination findings and follow-up care.³

In a December 18, 2013 report, Dr. Chealon Miller, an orthopedic surgeon, noted treating appellant for bilateral knees. He stated that appellant wanted an impairment rating following a rotator cuff repair with some residuals. Dr. Miller referred appellant for a functional capacity evaluation and impairment rating. Coleen Rodney-Brown, a physical therapist, performed a functional capacity evaluation and impairment evaluation on January 21, 2014. She advised that appellant was capable of working a light to medium eight-hour workday.

On February 25, 2014 appellant requested that the accepted condition be expanded to include his left shoulder. He resubmitted the schedule award claim.

In correspondence dated March 27, 2014, OWCP informed appellant that the medical evidence of record was insufficient to support that his left shoulder condition was caused by the work incident or the accepted left radial styloid tenosynovitis. Appellant was asked to provide detailed medical information regarding the cause of the claimed left shoulder condition and was

² A copy of the MRI scan report is not found in the case record.

³ On May 24, 2013 appellant filed a recurrence claim, stating that he sustained a recurrence of disability on June 16, 2011. He indicated that, after he retired, his condition worsened and he had not done anything to injure his shoulder since retirement.

given 30 days to respond. In an April 8, 2014 response, he listed his physicians, attached a copy of the May 7, 2007 acceptance decision, and correspondence from OWCP. A telephone memorandum dated June 12, 2014, indicated that OWCP informed appellant of the need to provide medical evidence, as indicated in the March 27, 2014 letter. Appellant submitted no additional medical evidence.

By decision dated November 12, 2014, OWCP denied appellant's claim to expand the accepted condition to include a left shoulder condition because he submitted no medical evidence to show that the claimed condition was a consequence of the accepted right wrist injury.

LEGAL PRECEDENT

Causal relationship is a medical issue, and the medical evidence required to establish a causal relationship is rationalized medical evidence.⁴ The opinion of the physician must be based on a complete factual and medical background of the claimant, must be one of reasonable medical certainty, and must be supported by medical rationale explaining the nature of the relationship between the diagnosed condition and the specific employment factors identified by the employee.⁵

ANALYSIS

The Board finds that appellant has failed to establish a left shoulder condition causally related to his accepted left wrist radial styloid tenosynovitis or to other factors of his federal employment.

On his March 22, 2007 claim form appellant indicated that constant computer use at work had caused left wrist and thumb pain. He did not mention a shoulder condition. The medical evidence at that time consisted of an April 3, 2007 report from Dr. Bell who reported a history of bilateral wrist pain with a positive Finkelstein's test. Dr. Bell provided no physical findings for the left shoulder. He diagnosed only the accepted radial styloid tenosynovitis. It was not until June 27, 2011, almost four years after appellant retired, that he alleged a shoulder condition or filed a schedule award claim. None of the subsequently submitted medical reports from Dr. Shah, Dr. Henderson, and Dr. Miller included an opinion on causation for a diagnosed left shoulder rotator cuff tendinitis or rotator cuff tear. OWCP informed appellant on several occasions of the type of evidence needed to establish that his left shoulder condition was employment related. In medical evidence provided, none of the physicians have explained the relationship between the diagnosed left shoulder condition and the accepted wrist injury.⁶

3

⁴ Jacqueline M. Nixon-Steward, 52 ECAB 140 (2000).

⁵ Leslie C. Moore, 52 ECAB 132 (2000); Gary L. Fowler, 45 ECAB 365 (1994).

⁶ See Leslie C. Moore, id.

Appellant may submit new evidence or argument with a written request for reconsideration to OWCP within one year of this merit decision, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 8128(a) and 20 C.F.R. §§ 10.605 through 10.607.

CONCLUSION

The Board finds that appellant did not meet his burden of proof to establish a left shoulder condition causally related to his accepted left radial styloid tenosynovitis or any factors of his federal employment.⁷

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the November 12, 2014 decision of the Office of Workers' Compensation Programs is affirmed.

Issued: July 8, 2015 Washington, DC

> Colleen Duffy Kiko, Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> Alec J. Koromilas, Alternate Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

> James A. Haynes, Alternate Judge Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

⁷ The Board notes that the record contains a recurrence claim, filed by appellant on May 24, 2013. The record does not indicate that OWCP has not issued a final decision on this claim, and the Board's jurisdiction extends only to the review of final decisions by OWCP. 20 C.F.R. 501.2(c); *J.B.*, Docket No. 09-2191 (issued May 14, 2010).